

ABSTRACT

Behavioral Health Workforce Strategies for Countering the U.S. Opioid Epidemic



Project Team

Kyle Grazier, DrPH, MPH, MS
Maria Gaiser, MPH
Jessica Buche, MPH, MA

Erin Gaines
Jackson Bensley, MPH

Background

Though approximately 2 million Americans currently live with an opioid use disorder (OUD) and more than 10 million reported misusing opioids in the past year,¹ OUD education remains underemphasized in behavioral health professional training.² Inadequate OUD education impacts provider preparedness and practice gaps across occupations and settings. This mixed-methods study sought to determine training variation among opioid treatment provider types and identify strategies for effective dissemination and implementation of best practices in OUD education, with an emphasis on supplementing current health professional education substance use disorder (SUD) curricula. Provider scopes of practice for psychiatrists (MDs), advanced practice registered nurses (APRNs), and physician assistants (PAs) were assessed to learn of regional trends in OUD and SUD training requirements across the U.S.

Methods

The University of Michigan Behavioral Health Workforce Research Center conducted a literature review and collected state-level data in fall 2021 on: (1) provider scopes of practice (SOPs), (2) SUD education and training requirements, and (3) state- and national-level loan repayment programs. Researchers identified a convenience sample of MD, APRN, and PA program key informants across the U.S. who completed 1-hour Zoom interviews on the topics of program structure, curriculum, recruitment, and sustainability as pertaining to SUD/OUD. Interviews were recorded, professionally transcribed, and thematically analyzed.

Findings

Review of literature and key informant interview transcripts revealed significant state-based variation in training requirements and prescribing practices for all 3 professions. States with more robust training requirements tended to have a greater number of special topics, education, or continuing education hours in SUD/OUD. Prescribing practices for psychiatrists were generally standardized across the U.S., while authorization for PAs to treat OUD without physician supervision and the number of PAs a physician was permitted to supervise at one time ranged from permissive to restrictive. APRN prescribing practices followed a similar pattern to PAs, with nearly half of U.S. states having restrictive or reduced APRN practice authority laws. All 3 prescriber types were required to pass an examination in order to practice.

Conclusions

The SUD/OUD education requirements are not equal across states or training programs. State-specific SOPs vary in the robustness of their SUD- and OUD-specific education requirements, including

mandated hours of SUD/ODD content and continuing education, and prescribing authority for APRNs and PAs differs across states. Staffing, curriculum time availability or constraints, and funding are consistent areas of both successes and challenges, with staff advocacy for OUD content inclusion and loan repayment opportunities as facilitators to maintaining program longevity.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as part of an award totaling \$1.4 million. The contents are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of, nor an endorsement, by HRSA, HHS, or the U.S. Government. For more information, please visit [HRSA.gov](https://www.hrsa.gov).